

APPENDIX G

Stanmore CPZ Review – Exhibition Comments

Saturday 11th September 2004 – Stanmore Library

Name and Address	Comment
Newman 16 Talman Grove	This is a small Close with several people owning more than one car. We would absolutely disagree with the scheme, which would generate arguments between neighbours and severely restrict contractor space for parking and almost completely restrict visitors parking. This simply compounds any problems currently in existence
Sandler 22 Gleneagles	This will exacerbate an already crowded parking area where many residents have more than one car and visitors will have to climb to the top floor to obtain a pass and then return to the car during which time they could be fined. This will cause nothing but trouble between neighbours and seems bloody mindless by the council
Clarke Hill Close	The proposals reflect the residents requirements, as they will prevent commuters into London and visitors to the nearby pub preventing residents from parking near their homes
Mendoza 6 Ray Court	"Fingers crossed" the proposals will be approved and implemented without delay
Gasson Gleneagles Gordon Avenue	This is not wanted by most people in the area
Silver 50 Snaresbrook Drive	Some restrictions are desperately needed in Snaresbrook Drive to restrict all day commuters and very thoughtless parkers who frequently block the road so no vehicles can access the whole road. However, as we are at the very end where the footpath is, we are concerned about the proposal as laid out in your plans. This would leave us with nowhere to park near to our house.
Kenton 36 Regents Court Davis 38 Regents Court	The scheme is fairly obviously going to take place, therefore when erecting the signs, PLEASE make Regents Court one-way from the south end to prevent drivers using it as a race track in the morning and evening rush hours, also to prevent head to head confrontations which happen frequently.
G D H Hicks 28 Sunningdale Close	I deplore the whole concept so far as Sunningdale Close is concerned. Moreover, an error has occurred in the marking of the red parking bays – one of them is right across my access and must be rectified if any parking restrictions are applied.

Name and Address	Comment
Noel Gellman 9 Rosedale Close	<p>As explained to me your proposed plans of a single yellow line for many yards at start of Close plus parking bays will reduce the number of cars that can park during the restricted hour whether we have a permit or not. This patently is a total nonsense especially as all the residents of Rosedale Close have no parking problems still allowing safe access for large trade vehicles even the garbage van manages to have access!!</p> <p>Visitors to flats during restricted hour must park, climb up stairs to perhaps top flat – no lift – go back to their car with visitors permit and maybe find that they have been booked!</p>
G Reynolds 15 Burlington Park House Dennis Lane	<p>I do not think any further taxes on the motorist are needed. If the scheme is to proceed there should be a reduced charge for the senior citizens who need permits. I suggest the whole scheme is a way of raising money.</p>
Cole 17 Stanmore Hill	<p>Opposed to the whole scheme. Very ill conceived and not thought out</p>
I Paul 7 Fallowfield	<p>Another of Ken's lunatic schemes. No reason to restrict parking in Fallowfield. All you need to do is restrict parking to one side at the entrance to Fallowfield if parking is allowed on two sides two cannot pass. What a waste of money</p>
Mrs Benson & Mr Doyle 12 Wolverton Road 23 Silverston Way	<p>Oppose scheme in Wolverton / Savernake as there are no current problems at all. CPZ would create problems where there are none</p> <p>Whilst there are some parking pressure points in the area of Stanmore these proposals appear to be excessively complex and restrictive. The costs of the whole exercise to deal with these proposals seem to be a complete waste of money which ultimately comes out of our (the tax payers) pocket. These answers are not the answer</p>
M & A Howard	<p>There is no problem in our road. Please do not create one. Behind LIDL's is an empty car park. Re-open it and this would clear most of the parking problems, you should have thought about the parking before allowing Stanmore Park to be built. How many cars belong to this complex??</p>
26 Silverston Way	<p>Totally opposed to the entire proposal. To add insult to injury is the proposal to put Double Yellow Lines in front of the properties in the cul-de-sac</p>

Name and Address	Comment
27 Pangbourne Drive	Pangbourne Drive is a road wide enough to accommodate cars either side, however in the CPZ plan yellow lines have been painted along either side of the road with provision for only a few parking zones. As the road has no parking problems at present this seems a bit strange. Upon questioning I was told that this was because of certain planning laws that have to be applied, however they are not set in stone and are subject to residents views. I therefore suggest that the parking bays are extended to all along the road whilst being removed from the proposed area around the "roundabout" in the middle (as these narrow the road and make it dangerous – a fact that cannot be seen on the plan). If these reservations are not taken into account it would be questionable that the council's main priority would be road safety and traffic calming / organisational reasons and more about restricting parking to force people to park on yellow lines and collecting the fines that ensue.
53 Stonegrove Gardens	Need to ensure that ambulances can turn around unhindered. Small estate, many old people (written by Peter Hazzard)
15 Temple Mead	DO NOT WANT THIS SCHEME TO GO AHEAD AT ANY COST!! Harrow Council appear to want to put more and more restrictions on us, in order to obtain more and more money – particularly from pensioners!!
33 Embry Way	This is a cul-de-sac, thus there is no through traffic and there are no street parking problems. Therefore parking restrictions will be a total waste of time and money.
22 Wychwood Close	Please – No. Control volume rather than patch the problem. If infrastructure cannot support traffic – stop building development and build more car parks
14 Sunningdale Close	There is no need for this parking proposal as there is absolutely no problem in our close or Gordon Avenue. We are at least half an hour from Stanmore Centre. There are no schools, shops, transport or places of worship nearby.
Demetriou 6 Lansdowne Road	We have no problems in this road, restrictions are unnecessary. The money would be better spent on reducing the speed at which motorists drive in this "short cut" route before a child gets killed.
31 Beatty Road	We are not for the scheme. We have no problem with parking at present – but could foresee it if the plan goes ahead

Name and Address	Comment
5 Buckingham Parade	No need for all this at all. Give us enough car parks. Don't shut them down or sell them to supermarkets. What's happened to the nearly million pounds given towards LIDL's car park? Where do the people who work in Stanmore park? Spend our money wisely. Not on meetings & ridiculous suggestions
J Prett 41 Belmont Lane	No problem with Parking – no need for expense of lines, machine etc for road which will detract visually from the area. What happened to the proposed car park in Stanmore?
37 Peters Close	No need for anything – let alone double yellow lines! We have had emergency vehicles, dustcarts etc - NO problem
11a Silverston Way	We live in a quiet Road – never any problem parking – dustcarts get through ok – NOT a necessary scheme! Please sort out parking in Whitchurch Road
14 Golf Close	We are at risk of flooding and do not want any more concrete front gardens. Golf Close does not have a parking problem and is half an hour from the station.
13 Golf Close	Two old age pensioners – front garden sealed with wall to stop further flooding (last flooding Sept 93) – no parking space allocated to our house – what in heavens name do we do with our cars every day!
87 Wychwood Avenue	No CPZ !! We live in a quiet road, no parking problems. CPZ would create problems
Wood Lane	I object in principle to the extension of the CPZ. We have no problem with people parking. I see this extension as a money making exercise and nothing else
Marsh Lane	I have no problems with parking, who decided this operation? I think this is a form of finance for the council
Embry Way	I object we have no problem with all day Parking and it will cause more inconvenience as a lot of residents are elderly and have visitors and family coming and going at all times
127 Stonegrove	There is no problem with all day parking. We are too far from the station but there is a problem especially at peak times when school coaches come through, with traffic flow in the slip road at the end of Pangbourne Drive and Stonegrove. The slip road needs to be one-way with a double yellow line on one side of the road. There is no problem with unlimited parking on one side of the road. (refer to map in comments book)
125 Stonegrove	I object and have no problems with all day parking on the road also I do agree with the proposal from 127 Stonegrove fully.

Name and Address	Comment
Mr & MsD'Souza Golf Close	I am registering a complaint about the roadshow dates and times. As an Orthodox Jewish family we cannot attend on Saturday or the Jewish New Year. Bernays Hall is used for Jewish Holidays so how can you have a roadshow on the same day? The dates and times are totally biased against the Jewish Community attending.
S Calderbant 8 Golf Close	I wish to say that this consultation and survey are flawed with errors. The survey questionnaire should have had a question asking if you wanted to say no. Also the Roadshows are both on days which prevent the Jewish Community from going to express their views. I object to the proposal of a CPZ in my road. It would ruin the area
??	We totally object to this ridiculous money making scheme. There are no problems in Winscombe Way and this proposal has upset not only residents of this road, but St John's school parents only

Stanmore CPZ Review – Exhibition Comments

Tuesday 14th September 2004 – Bernays Memorial Hall

Name and Address	Comment
Mrs Patricia Goldstone 2 Old Forge Close	The “No parking” hour should not be “3-4pm” near to schools such as St Johns Stanmore Hill as it is when parents collect their children fro school. Any other hour in the day is preferable. 3-4 is particularly difficult for residents
Mr Leslie Lightman 76 Howberry Road	Why no parking 3-4 pm in Howberry Road after 2 nd Roundabout if first two sections are no parking 2-3pm. This will only confuse people.
R Miller 15 Lansdowne Road	The council must take action to prevent parking outside the schools in Abercorn Road and Wemborough Road before a serious accident occurs. No CPZ is required in Lansdowne Road
Peter Goldstone 2 Old Forge Close	There is no parking problem. Extending it to our area would affect parents collecting children fro St Johns School – unless there is no parking on Stanmore Hill above the present limit
S Kaye Lemark Close	All the residents of Lemark Close will send in their questionnaires by 21/9/04. Very Severe parking problems
N Gray 4 Peters Close	Nobody wants double yellow lines here. Parking is not a problem. The best way to improve access for emergency vehicles is to widen the road. The pavement o/s no. 4 is often mounted by large vehicles and paving stones cracked. There is no point in relaying the same type. There are frequent examples of speeding in Howberry Road end. Could some speed ramps be installed before someone is killed.
C Chilton Pangbourne Drive	We have absolutely NO PROBLEM with parking. The Road is very wide with good access points along it. The school pick up / drop off is only for half an hour at most. A significant number walk to school for the access to Pangbourne Drive end so why penalise the residents!!! We should not have to pay for parking outside our house and our friends. Is this just a money making project for Harrow Council?? No one leaves their car down Pangbourne all day long. We do NOT get any cars from the station parking at all. Why create the problem of parking then down our road. A better use of your time would be to reduce the speed of traffic along Pangbourne

Name and Address	Comment
E Levy 9 Morecambe Gardens	The new H boundaries to include Brockley Hill, Stonegrove etc are far too wide. For the proposed charge of £40 per annum, it will be a very cost saving way of getting to Stanmore station and slogging up surrounding streets. Also when Wembley is officially finished, Morecambe Gardens will need Sunday and evening restrictions in addition to those already in place.
E Sherbourne 9 Wildcroft Gardens	Not needed in this road
M Jones 15 Colman Court	Not wanted at any price. Parking an extra tax on residents
George Summerfield Oak Lodge Close	You need to address the real problem by opening the multi-story above LIDL. Will write to Harrow Council
Gold 43 Pangbourne Drive	We are constantly plagued by parking from the commuters who park from early morning to late evening for Stanmore Station. Then we have school staff, and pupils who attend Aylward Beauty College who park in the road and very often over our drive way and when you confront them they often use abusive language. The quicker they introduce this scheme the better.
9 Glebe Road	Because Glebe Road (at Broadway end) is so narrow a stronger restriction on the yellow line is needed. If any vehicle parks opposite parking bays the road is completely blocked. Commuters do use the parking bays and regularly park from 8 / 8.30 am to 2.55pm. Another hour in the am period would solve this problem (hopefully)
Roy & Edith McCathie 9 Gressenham Crescent Arran Drive	Opposite Brompton concerned about the length of the proposed yellow line – would like to see the parking spaces maximised this. Also, would like the length of the disabled bays to be made standard sizing (one is much longer than the others)
Nigel & Carol Curtis Green Corner Green Lane	Any restrictions must recognise the problem for parents collecting and delivery children to St John's School. Consideration should also be given to the widening of Green Lane where the grass verges are for the parking bays to avoid the slalom course which currently exists when coming down Green Lane.
Simone & Neal Lester 23 Dovercourt Gdns	More research is needed – consider Edgware & District Reform Synagogue in Stonegrove Barnet. There are up to 100 cars parked on the Harrow side of the road at various occasions during the week.

Name and Address	Comment
	Where are passengers suggest to park if using the tube as encouraged by Government. Also consider what will happen when Wembley Stadium comes in to use.
Mrs K Bezin 11 Greyfell Cl	Greyfell Close is a small Cul-de-sac. Each tenant has their own allocated space and is very happy with the status quo. Our close is tucked away and we have no parking problems.
K Brown 89 Belmont Lane	Belmont does not need to be included as this is not a problem. If part of the road is to be included then anyone who needs to park will 1 st move further down the road to be outside the CPZ
Mr & Mrs T Reid 10 Fallowfield	We feel that parking (day & night) on both sides of the road at the top of the road is a safety hazard. The cars parked on Stanmore Hill on the left and right of Fallowfield block any view of the road in both directions. In Fallowfield at the top end parking should be on one side only at all times to enable access for any emergency vehicles. The remains of the road should have no parking for one hour am and one hour pm. We feel there is no need for resident parking bays.
Dorothy Robins 5 Savernake Court Wolverton Road	I am not aware of a parking problem at present. Should there be double yellow lines at the bottom of the road there would be an overspill. I also would object strongly to paying outside my home. There must be other methods.
Cowan 3 Brockley Close	There is no problem in this road. I would strongly object to having double yellow lines outside my house or having to pay to park
Gersttler 1 Laurimel Close	We do NOT want parking restrictions in Laurimel Close, but are very eager for them in September Way – it's a nightmare!!!
Beach 17 Peters Close	We DO NOT want a controlled zone, it is not necessary in Peters Close. We have no problems with emergency vehicles. Workman and visitors will be forced to use Howberry Road residents bays.
Kraft 12 September Way	There is a problem with Students from Stanmore College. Emergency Vehicles cannot get through also abuse and mess from students.
M Flower Red Tiles Green Lanes	Residents only scheme (least worst option) making the road one way only from the Uxbridge Road should be considered. As a conservation area I would not like a controlled zone at all.

Stanmore CPZ Review – Exhibition Comments

Thursday 16th September 2004 – Bernays Memorial Hall

Name and Address	Comment
Steele 3 Green Lane Cottages	Residents only scheme best option. Current suggestion will cause much upset. Leave road alone as we have managed for 40 years like this! One way up or down or sleeping policemen or 6' barriers have all been marked down before. "ban school run" 3-4pm. STOP STEALTH TAX!!!!
Weston Green Lane	The parking on both sides of the road outside the cottages near the top is a particular hazard because of the narrowing of the road at that point – particularly bad at school collecting times. It can sometimes be dangerous and results in gridlock. I think there should be restricted parking of some sort instated
J Sadler Sandymount Avenue	Parking opposite an already parked car giving less room for other vehicles that are larger than areas left. We have had damage to our car due to this in the last 6 months. We would like to have Wembley events taken into consideration for the future Wembley Stadium. Remember less room can be dangerous as emergency vehicles have trouble getting up and down our road as well as council vehicles
D Shah 7 Calthorpe Gardens	Satisfied with all the explanations. I would agree to go ahead on the project. All questions that I had duly clarified. I am happy to go along.
Mr Nash Peters Close	Don't want Yellow lines. Have no problem parking. Concerned that residents will have nowhere to park. Also concerned that nature reserve will not be used due to no parking facilities. Also felt that the leaflet was misleading. No mention of the possibility of Double Yellow Lines in narrow roads
23 Peters Close	No need has been shown for any extension to the CPZ as a whole, but this question has not been asked. Double Yellow lines in Peters Close – NO!
P Chundasama 16 Goodhall Close	I do not want parking restrictions on my estate, however has any thought been given to the small park in Stanmore Park and its potential on Parking in the area
S North 53 Cheyneys Avenue	NO CPZ NECESSARY IN CHEYNEYS AVENUE – if restrictions at all – would prefer extension of the current system at lower end of Cheyney Avenue to upper end i.e. yellow line only with 1 hour restriction NO BAYS or METERS. I would object strongly to a bay o/s my house (no. 53) as I currently maintain the verge to a high standard and sweep the street outside my property

Name and Address	Comment
M C Cohen 6 Aberdeen Cottage Belmont Lane	There is absolutely NO parking problem on my road, I am over a 20 minute walk from any shops and there is no need for a CPZ. This is just another appalling way to tax us and is ridiculous. I am STRONGLY opposed to this going ahead and if there does have to be any action I will be extremely surprised and disappointed!! We pay enough tax already and Harrow Council do not provide a good enough service in other areas to justify this! NO CPZ!!
Cohen 84 Old Church Lane	We do not want the CPZ to go ahead!! We already pay enough tax and have no parking problems in our road. NO CPZ should be implemented
Cook / Wood 2 Green Lane Cottages Green Lane	The part of Green Lane we live in is not affected by people parking their cars in order to work / shop in Stanmore Town Centre. There is definitely no reason to have a CPZ scheme in our area of Green Lane. There are other issues that we will put forward on our brochure reply
Korn / Morris 9 Courtens Mews	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no parking problem in Belmont Lane / Courten Mews. 2. This is a money making scheme because the Council has overspent 3. The consultation period is too short 4. One out of the 2 days required to come in is a Jewish holiday and therefore most people wont be able to register 5. Open up old unused car parks and then no parking problems will exist